CONTENTS

Special Issue: A Collection of Papers Presented at the Annual Symposium of the Mary Kay O'Connor Process Safety Center, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, United States, 28–29 October, 2003

Foreword
M. Sam Mannan (College Station, TX, USA) ix

Learning from experience
T.A. Kletz (Leicestershire, UK) 1
Drivers of accident preparedness and safety: evidence from the RMP Rule
P.R. Kleindorfer (Philadelphia, PA, USA), M.R. Elliott, Y. Wang (University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, USA), R.A. Lowe (Oregon Health and Science University, USA) 9
Making the business case for process safety using value-at-risk concepts
J.S. Fang, D.M. Ford, M.S. Mannan (College Station, TX, USA) 17
The role of adverse weather conditions in acute releases of hazardous substances, Texas, 2000–2001
P.Z. Ruckart (Atlanta, GA, USA), J. Borders, J. Villanacci, R. Harris, M. Samples-Ruiz (Austin, TX, USA) 27
Lessons learned from hazardous chemical incidents—Louisiana Hazardous Substances Emergency Events Surveillance (HSEES) system
C.-Y. Hu, D.J. Raymond (New Orleans, LA, USA) 33
New York hazardous substances emergency events surveillance: learning from hazardous substances releases to improve safety
W.L. Welles, R.E. Wilburn, J.K. Ehrlich, C.M. Floridia (Troy, NY, USA) 39
Evaluation of 1,3-butadiene dimerization and secondary reactions in the presence and absence of oxygen
A.A. Aldeeb, W.J. Rogers, M.S. Mannan (College Station, TX, USA) 51
Calorimetric study on the decomposition of hydroxylamine in the presence of transition metals
M. Kumasaki (Tokyo, Japan) 57
Chemical reactivity assessments in R&D
D. Leggett (Marina del Rey, CA, USA) 63
The reactivity of 1,3-butadiene with butadiene-derived popcorn polymer
M.E. Levin, A.D. Hill, L.W. Zimmerman (Houston, TX, USA), T.E. Paxson (USA) 71
Study of condition-dependent decomposition reactions Part I. The thermal behaviour and decompostion of 2-nitrobenzoyl chloride
S.D. Lever, M. Papadaki (Leeds, UK) 91
Use of ARC in screening for explosive properties
G.T. Bodman, S. Chervin (Rochester, NY, USA) 101
Testing strategy for classifying self-heating substances for transport of dangerous goods
S. Chervin, G.T. Bodman (Rochester, NY, USA) 107
Effect of droplet size distribution on reaction heat in a liquid–liquid heterogeneous reaction process
Y. Fujimoto (Tokyo, Japan) 111
Learning from what went wrong—two case studies
R.H. Grotefendt (Longview, TX, USA), J. Wayne Chastain (Kingsport, TN, USA) 115
Chlorine transfer hose failure
G. Joseph (Washington, DC, USA) 119
Whose fault is it anyway? A practical illustration of human factors in process safety
G. Hughes (Houston, TX, USA), M. Kornowa-Weichel (Sylvania, OH, USA) 127
Explosion caused by flashing liquid in a process vessel
R.A. Ogle, M.V. Megerle, D.R. Morrison III, A.R. Carpenter (Wood Dale, IL, USA) 133
Practicing chemical process safety: a look at the layers of protection
R.E. Sanders (Lake Charles, LA, USA) 141
Consequence modeling using the fire dynamics simulator
N.L. Ryder, J.A. Sutula, C.F. Schemel, A.J. Hamer, V.V. Brunt (Columbia, MD, USA) 149
Human performance breakdowns are rarely accidents: they are usually very poor choices with disastrous results
R.O. Besco (Dallas, TX, USA) 155
Initial perspectives on process threat management
J.R.R. Whiteley (Stillwater, OK, USA), M. Sam Mannan (College Station, TX, USA) 163
A new method for defining and managing process alarms and for correcting process operation when an alarm occurs
R. Brooks, R. Thorpe, J. Wilson (Bucks UX, UK) 169
The new risk paradigm for chemical process security and safety
D.A. Moore (San Francisco, CA, USA) 175
Evaluating and assessing process hazard analyses
P. John Palmer (Santa Fe, NM, USA) 181
Force protection: todays reality
R. Torgerson (Northglenn, CO, USA) 193